UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/602,790   | 06/23/2003  | Peter Meerwald       | 282562US8X          | 1686             |  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | PHAM, THIERRY L     |                  |  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 2625                |                  |  |
|  |             | •                    |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             | •                    | 06/18/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                 |  | 10/602,790   | MEERWALD ET AL.  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |
|   |  | Thierry L. Pham  | 2625   |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  | orrespondence address  |  |  |
| Period fo   | • •  |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M   | ay 2007.   |  |  |  |
| 2a)   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-25,27 and 28</u> is/are pending i  | in the application.  |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>20-25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |
| · —   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |
| 8)[   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by the B   | Examiner.  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |
| _   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |
| a)  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | • •  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | ·  | ed in this National Stage  |  |  |
| * 0   | application from the International Bureau<br>See the attached detailed Office action for a list  |  | ad.  |  |  |
|   | see the attached detailed Office action for a list   | or the certified copies not receive  | ·u.  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   | ·  |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |
|   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P  |  |  |  |
|   | r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/23/03</u> .   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

• This action is responsive to the following communication: Response to restriction/election filed on 5/21/07.

• Claims 1-18, 20-25, and 27-28 are currently pending. Claims 19 & 26 have been canceled; claims 20-25 & 27-28 are currently being considered; claims 1-18 have been withdrawn due to non-election invention.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-18 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/21/07. Applicant's election with traverse of invention/group IV in the reply filed on 5/21/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not established that a serious burden would result if all the claims were examiner together. This is not found persuasive because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons previously given and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02) restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,790

Art Unit: 2625

Claims 20-25 & 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Valer (US 6714209).

Regarding claim 23, Van Valer discloses a system (system as shown in fig. 5) for performing processes used for generating printing data on the basis of which a disk label (creating disk label, fig. 4) is creatable, comprising:

- a network capable client means (user's browser 500, fig. 5) for locally and/or remotely controlling/performing (remotely generating/creating disk label, fig. 4) said processes used for generating print data on the basis of which a disk label is creatable (disk label, fig. 4); and
- a network capable server (server 520, fig. 5) offering functionality (disk label creating functionality, fig. 6, col. 2, lines 50-65 and col. 11, lines 5-67) directly usable by a remote client on said server and/or installable on said remote client in order to be remotely used, said functionality adapted to locally and/or remotely control/perform said processes used for generating printing data on the basis of which a disk label is creatable (remotely creating disk label, fig. 3-5, col. 11, lines 5-67), wherein said network capable client and said network capable server are connected with each other via a communication network (client and network server are connected via network 510, fig. 5).

Regarding claim 24, Van Valer further discloses the system according to claim 23, wherein said communication network comprises the Internet (Internet 510, fig. 5) and/or an Intranet.

Regarding claim 20, Van Valer further discloses the network capable server (2) according to claim 23, further comprising: a web browser (user's browser 500, fig. 5, col. 11, lines 5-67) running on a remote client to access said offered functionality.

Regarding claim 21, Van Valer further discloses the network capable server according to claim 20, wherein said offered functionality are applets (user-definition-to-XML module 530, fig. 5, col. 11, lines 5-67) to be used in conjunction with a web browser.

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 22, Van Valer further discloses the network capable server according to claim 23, further comprising: means for receiving (via network 510, fig. 5) printing data being remotely generated by said remote client.

Regarding claims 25, 27-28 recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 23-24 above; therefore, claims 25, 27-28 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 23-24.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL I. GARŒIA PRIMARY EXAMINER